

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/086,509	02/28/2002	Louis J. Panaccione	PURP01/0010	2163
826 7590 04/25/2007 ALSTON & BIRD LLP EXAMINER				
BANK OF AM		PATTERSON, MARIE D		
101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3728	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO1	NTHS	04/25/2007	, PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers	10/086,509	PANACCIONE, LOUIS J.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Marie Patterson	3728			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	the mailing date of this o			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 D	ecember 2006.				
_	action is non-final.				
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowal		secution as to the	e merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	•			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acc		xaminer.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			•		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			FR 1.121(d).		
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		d in this National	Stage		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Dividice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:					
o) Li Otner:					

Application/Control Number: 10/086,509

Art Unit: 3728

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8-10, 12, 13, 15, and 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Erickson (6408543).

Erickson shows an insole comprising a plurality of heel pieces (24, 60, 62, and 64), a plurality of forefoot piece (22, see column 7 line 38-column 8, line 17), interlocking and retaining means (26, 42, 58, 25b, and 59), and a forefoot cushioning means (27) as claimed.

3. Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8-10, and 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by MacNamara (6092311).

MacNamara shows an insole comprising a heel piece (20) with first interlocking means (64, see column 4 lines 20-25), forefoot pieces (30, 40, and 50) some with a forefoot centering periphery (shown in figures 1A and 1B), and second interlocking means (62) as claimed.

Art Unit: 3728

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Torchia (1733678).in view of Erickson.

Torchia shows an insole comprising a heel piece (1 and 2) with a first interlocking means (6), a forefoot piece (11) with a second interlocking means (12), means for retaining the pieces together (frictional engagement), and a heel cup (formed by element 15 and 14) substantially as claimed except for providing a plurality of the forefoot pieces. Erickson teaches providing a plurality of forefoot pieces (22, see column 7 line 38-column 8, line 17). It would have been obvious to provide a plurality of forefoot pieces as taught by Erickson in the insole of Torchia to increase the adjustability and customization of the insole.

6. Claims 3, 7, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Erickson or Torchia as modified above.

Erickson or Torchia as modified above shows an insole substantially as claimed except for the exact means for retaining the interlocking means together and the exact material hardnesses. The use of adhesive to retain elements together is well known and conventional and also low tack adhesives are a well known alternative to hook and loop fasteners. It has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to

select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416. It would have been obvious to provide adhesive and to use materials with hardnesses as claimed in the insole of either Erickson or Torchia as modified above to prevent the elements from sliding apart and to provide appropriate support and durability materials.

7. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Erickson or Torchia as modified above in view of Dahle (5230170).

Erickson or Torchia as modified above shows an insole substantially as claimed except for a chemically reactive forefoot pad. Dahle teaches providing a chemically reactive forefoot pad (42) in an insole. It would have been obvious to provide a chemically reactive forefoot pad as taught by Dahle in the insole of either Erickson or Torchia as modified above to provide warmth to the foot in cold weather.

Drawings

8. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on 9/12/02 have been approved by the Examiner. A proper drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The correction to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 12/22/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 3728

In response to applicants' arguments directed towards Erickson, Erickson clearly states and teaches the use of a plurality of forefoot members, see column 7 line 38-column 8, line 17.

In response to applicants' arugments directed towards MacNamara, the heel piece 20 is considered to be a heel piece of an insole inasmuch as applicant has claimed such. It is noted that the claims are directed towards as "cushioned insole support system...comprising....a plurality of left-foot heel pieces" and element 20 is clearly a heel piece of a support system.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/086,509

Art Unit: 3728

Page 6

1. Telephone inquiries regarding the status of application or other general questions, by persons entitled to the information, "should be directed to the group clerical personnel and not to the Examiners. In as much as the official records and applications are located in the clerical section of the examining groups, the clerical personnel can readily provide status information without contacting the examiners", M.P.E.P. 203.08. The Group clerical receptionist number is (703) 308-1148 or the Tech Center 3700 Customer Service Center number is (703) 306-5648. For applicant's convenience, the Group Technological Center FAX number is (703) 872-9302. (Note that the Examiner cannot confirm receipt of faxes) Please identify Examiner of Art at the top of your cover sheet of any correspondence submitted.

Inquiries only concerning the merits of the examination should be directed to Marie Patterson whose telephone number is (703) 308-0069.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g. copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, for PTO-892, etc. requests for copies of such papers should be directed to (703) 308-1337.

Check out our web-site at "www.uspto.gov" for fees and other useful information.

Marie Patterson **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 3728